

REMARKS

Claims 1-22 are pending. By this amendment, Claims 1, 10, 19, and 22 are amended. Support for the amendment to the claims is at least provided on page 11, line 27 through page, 12, line 10 of the present Application, as filed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter is added.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Application in view of the remarks contained herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 5-10, and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,006,216 to Griffin et al. ("Griffin") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,119,117 to Yoda et al. ("Yoda"). Claims 2-4 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin in view of Yoda and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,484,309 to Nowlin, Jr. ("Nowlin"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and all assertions therein.

Claim 1, as amended, recites a method for migrating content from a source table in a source database to a target table in a target database, wherein the databases are physically different, the method including "removing representations from the log table of the entries copied from the source table to the target table."

However, it has not been shown that Griffin or Yoda discloses "removing representations from the log table of the entries copied from the source table to the target table," as recited in Claim 1. As such, Applicants respectfully submit Claim 1 is allowable and request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 10, 19, and 22 recite a similar feature as that described above with respect to Claim 1. Consequently, Applicants submit that Claims 10, 19, and 22 are similarly allowable for at least the same reasons. Hence, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Claims 2-9 depend from Claim 1; Claims 11-18 depend from Claim 10; and Claims 20-21 depend from Claim 19. Further, it has been shown how Nowlin overcomes the deficiencies of Griffin and Yoda. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2-9, 11-18, and 20-21 are in condition for allowance. Thus, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Request for Interview

If the present Application is not allowed and/or if one or more of the rejections is maintained or made final, Applicants hereby request a telephone conference with the Examiner and further request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney to schedule a telephone conference.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue, or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue, or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

In view of the above, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicants respectfully submit that the Application is in condition for allowance, and request such a Notice.

It is believed that no fee is due at this time. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies or other required fees or any credits to deposit account 06-1050, referencing the attorney docket number shown above.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 11, 2008

/Darien Reddick/
Darien Reddick
Reg. No. 57,956

Customer No. 32864
Fish & Richardson P.C.
P.O. Box 1022
Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022
Telephone: (214) 760-6139
Facsimile: (214) 747-2091